From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester
Date: 15 September 2026 10:00:17

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a local resident to formally object the planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, which proposes a 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester (Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE). I respectfully request that planning permission be refused.

The application lacks the complete technical evidence required for a development of this scale. Significant questions regarding fire safety, ground conditions, and site suitability remain unanswered. In particular, ground contamination has not been properly investigated; despite previous studies identifying potential risks, no borehole testing has been conducted. Furthermore, parts of the site face a high risk of surface-water flooding, and the drainage strategy remains entirely unresolved.

The transport data is equally flawed. The Transport Assessment repeatedly refers to the wrong road, and the B4011 serving the site lacks adequate pedestrian infrastructure despite a recorded history of serious collisions. Additionally, the applicant has failed to secure confirmed, permanent solutions for vital infrastructure, such as electricity and wastewater disposal.

Placing a high-density population of 1,256 people in an isolated rural location with poor public transport, minimal services, and no supporting infrastructure is unsustainable. It is worth noting that an independent Planning Inspector previously rejected a smaller asylum accommodation proposal on this very site.

Finally, the compressed representation period does not allow the public, local councils, or technical experts sufficient time to review a highly complex application exceeding 1,000 pages. To ensure a fair process in line with standard 21-day Crown Development protocols, I request that the deadline be extended from 7 September 2026 to Monday 28 September 2026.

Given these collective deficiencies, the suitability of Site A has not been demonstrated. Should you be minded to approve this application despite these flaws, I request that no decision be finalised until all outstanding technical, environmental, infrastructure, and safety studies are completed and made available for public scrutiny.

Yours sincerely,

[redacted]

Sent from my iPhone